

# Caledonian



# Mercury

No. 9744

EDINBURGH,

MONDAY, FEBRUARY 23, 1784.

## THEATRE ROYAL,

On WEDNESDAY next, the 25th current, will be presented, a Comedy, not acted here these three years, called **THE MERCHANT OF VENICE**.  
Written by SHAKESPEARE.

Shylock, Mr COLLINS;  
(Formerly of the Theatre Royal, Dublin, who has not appeared on the Stage these several years.)

Bassanio, Mr CAUTHERLEY;  
Lancelot, Mr MOSS;  
And Antonio, Mr WOODS.

Nerissa, Mrs SPARKS;  
Jessica, (with Songs), Mrs HENDERSON;  
And Portia, Mrs WILMOT WELLS.

To which will be added, (never acted here) the celebrated Barletta of **TOM THUMB THE GREAT**.

Altered from FIELDING, by the late Mr O'HARA Author of Midas.  
Lord Grizzle, Mr MOSS;  
Tom Thumb, Master CHARTERIS;

Noodle, Mr Sparks; Merlia, Mr Bell;  
Ghost of C. of Thumb, Mr Tannett;  
And King Arthur, Mr HALLION.

Glumdalca, Queen of the Giants, Mr DAVIES;  
Princess Huncamunca, Mrs HENDERSON;  
Plumante, Mrs TANNETT; Frizante, Mrs MILLS;  
And Queen Dollalolla, Miss MORRIS.

To the Nobility and Gentry,

## Breslaw's New Variety of Entertainments,

And EXPLANATIONS of Various DECEPTIONS,

Will be displayed for a few Nights only.

At the Dancing Room, St Mary's Chapel, Niddery's Wynd,

This and every other Evening during this Week, and no longer.

BESIDES the Different AMUSEMENT and ASTONISHMENT

which will be exhibited by Mr BRESLAW and his COMPANY,

and being the LAST TIME of his performing in this city, he will like-

wise DISCOVER and EXPLAIN Several Surprising DECEPTIONS,

in such a manner that every person in company shall be capable of doing

them immediately by themselves for their amusement. The particulars

of which are expressed in the hand bills. Each night the Exhibition will

begin at Seven o'clock, and the Discovering and Explanation at Eight.

Admittance Two Shillings each person.

Tickets and hand-bills to be taken of Mr

BRESLAW, at Mrs Jones's print-shop, opposite St Mary's Chapel.

This day is published, Price Six Shillings in boards,

## A SYSTEM OF SURGERY,

VOLUME SECOND.

Illustrated with Copperplates.

By BENJAMIN BELL,

Member of the Royal College of Surgeons, one of the Surgeons to the

Royal Infirmary, and Fellow of the Royal Society of Edinburgh.

Among other articles treated of in this volume are the following:

The operation of Lithotomy.—In this chapter, all the means hitherto

proposed for the extraction of stones from the human bladder

are considered.

Obstructions in the Urethra, and the general utility of Bougies in

their removal.

Hæmorrhoidal Tumours. 1. The operation for the Emphyema.

The Fistula in Ano. 2. Bronchotomy.

The removal of Cancerous Tumours: in the breast by amputation.

Printed for C. ELLIOT, Edinburgh, and G. ROBINSON, London.

Of whom may be had, also this day published,

A New Edition, being the Third, corrected and considerably en-

larged, of a TREATISE on the THEORY and MANAGEMENT

of ULCERS; with a DISSERTATION on WHITE SWELLINGS

of the JOINTS.—To which is prefixed, an ESSAY on the Chirur-

gical Treatment of INFLAMMATION and its Consequences. By

the same Author. Price 6s. in boards.

The First Volume of Mr Bell's Surgery, with Plates, 6s. in boards.

## THE Fiar Prices of Victual for the Shire of E-

dinburgh, crop 1783, are to be struck on Tuesday the 9th day of

March next. This notice is given to all concerned, that they may give

lists of such persons names as are necessary to be examined as witness-

with respect to the prices, to John Newbigging writer at the Sher-

iff Clerk's Office, before Thursday the 4th day of March next, in order

that such persons may be summoned for that purpose.

Edinburgh Friendly Insurance Society against losses by Fire.

BY appointment of the annual General Meeting of this Society, held

the 26th day of January last, there is to be a General Meeting of

proprietors, in Mary's Chapel, on Monday the 8th day of March, at

10 o'clock afternoon, for the purpose of taking under consideration, and

emending upon, sundry proposals made to the Society at last General

meeting; it is therefore expected that the Proprietors will attend.

## First Notice—First Term.

THAT in the process of ranking and sale, at the instance of William

Robertson merchant in Leith, with concurrence of his Majesty's

vocate, against the Heirs and Creditors of HUGH BROCK, late

merchant in Wick, the Lord Kennet, by interlocutor dated the 19th

inst, granted commission, in the usual form, for proving the rental

value of the lands under sale, &c. to be reported against the 12th

of June next; nominated the Lord Henderland as Ordinary, of course

rank the creditors; and assigned the said 12th day of June next

them to produce all their claims, rights, and diligences, against the

debt of his estate, and that for the FIRST TERM; with certification

in a reduction and improbatum; and ordained the present intima-

tion thereof, to the end it might come to the knowledge of all parties

concerned.

C. H. CALLENDER, Clk.

## First Notice—Second Term.

THAT in the process of Ranking and Sale, at the instance of George

Neillson, Esq; late in the service of the East India Company,

with concurrence of his Majesty's Advocate, against ROBERT MAN-

## HOUSE OF COMMONS.

Monday, Feb. 16.

SCOTCH DISSENTERS.

Lord Maitland presented a petition from the Scotch Protes-  
tant Dissenters residing in and about London, which set forth,  
that the custom of *kissing a book*, in receiving an oath, is so dif-  
ferent from the mode used in their native country, and so op-  
posite to the prejudices of their early education and religion,  
that they wish to be delivered from it. In Scotland, the mark  
of sincerity, in taking an oath, is, to hold up the right hand,  
by way of appeal to heaven. That mark the Scotch Protes-  
tants approve of, and wish to have continued. The petition  
was very voluminous, and, it is said, contained the names of  
the first persons of the Kirk of Scotland, that are now resident  
in England.

Mr Arden said, that the ceremony of kissing a book, or of  
holding up the hand, was the same in point of efficacy.

Mr Dempster moved some observations on the practice of his  
countrymen in receiving an oath; and hoped they would be  
gratified in a thing that was of so much indifference to the le-  
gislation.

Lord Maitland was then ordered to prepare to bring in a  
bill for regulating the same.

## CONSTABLE OF THE TOWER.

Lord Maitland introduced a conversation relative to Lord  
George Lenox having vacated his seat in Parliament, by ac-  
cepting the Constableness of the Tower, on which he moved,  
that a copy of Lord George Lenox's commission be laid before  
the House.

Mr Steele, and some others, endeavoured to prove, that the  
office of Constable of the Tower was a mere military employ-  
ment, and therefore did not fall within the meaning of the sta-  
tute, there being an exception in favour of military promotions.  
Besides, Lord George Lenox's commission had not yet been  
made out, the warrant had been only signed by his Majesty, di-  
rected to the Attorney or Solicitor-General; therefore, allow-  
ing even that he ought to vacate his seat on accepting that of-  
fice, as he had not yet received his commission, he ought not  
to be precluded from voting.

After various observations by Captain James Luttrell, Mr  
Pitt, Lord North, Mr Pelham, &c. Lord Maitland's motion  
was changed to the following: "That a copy of the warrant  
appointing Lord George Lenox's commission to be made out,  
as Constable of the Tower, be laid before the House; as also  
a copy of the commission of the late Constable, with a list of  
the various fees, &c. &c. independent of the salary of 1000 l.  
per annum, specified in the warrant, belonging to that employ-  
ment." This motion was agreed to. And as heavy penalties  
attach on members voting on a question, after their seats have  
been vacated by accepting a place of civil emolument under  
Government, Lord George's friends declared the noble Lord  
would not vote in the House during the investigation, whether  
the office of Constable of the Tower is to be considered as a  
civil or military employment.

## PRIVILEGES OF THE HOUSE.

Lord Beauchamp rose and said, that of all the subjects which  
had engaged the discussion of that House, this was one of the  
most important. It was a question of no less magnitude than  
whether they should exercise one of the most material of their  
functions, or whether the House of Peers should be permitted  
to control their determinations—this was the object for their  
enquiries. It had been argued by the Lords, and given out by  
them to the world, in the shape of a resolution, that for one  
branch of the Legislature to assume to itself a power to dispense  
with, or suspend the operation of another, was unconstitutional and  
illegal. How then did such a maxim apply to the conduct of  
themselves? Did they not affect to interfere with what custom,  
common law, and the constitution had given that House as pri-  
vileges, without which none of their capacities could be an-  
swered, or accurately, and to public purposes be established and  
ascertained? Did not the Lords, by this resolution, overturn  
the very maxim they endeavoured to establish—a maxim taken  
simply by itself, without application to particular circumstances,  
and only as an abstract proposition, a most clear and definite  
truism? But this resolution most certainly did overturn itself  
by implication, though not in specific and absolute terms. It did  
it in the most pointed manner that an indirect way could possi-  
bly permit; for it had assumed to say, that the House of Com-  
mons not only ought not to have exercised its most important  
privilege and duty, but also that the exercise of that privilege,  
and the discharge of that duty, had been illegal and unconstitu-  
tional. Thus had the Lords, at the very moment they intimated  
and traduced the honour and dignity of that House, themselves  
been guilty of the offence which they affected to censure in the  
House of Commons.

In the instance of the resolutions of the 24th of December,  
the language of the Commons was, that the Lords of the Treas-  
ury ought not to suffer the East India Company to accept bills,  
unless the Company should possess specific effects, after the pay-  
ment of the duties due to the public, sufficient to discharge such  
bills as they should be permitted to accept, when they should ar-  
rive to maturity, or till that House should direct otherwise.  
How different was this language to that of the resolution of the  
House of Lords, which assumed to itself the whole legislature,  
by declaring the act of the House of Commons—the exercise  
of one of its most necessary properties—illegal and unconstitu-  
tional? The one was *monitory*; the other bore the tone of au-  
thority and legislation: And was there a man who heard him  
that could stand up and say he went too far, in contending that  
the resolution of the House of Lords of the 4th February was  
not of that specific nature he had described? Or would any one  
pretend to say that the resolution of the House of Lords was a  
mere abstract proposition; or that any other motive could induce  
them to vote an uncontested truism, and which, since 1703,  
had stood upon their journals, if it was not for the decided purpose  
he had stated?—Was there an instance in which the two  
Houses employed that time which the public good called for, and  
required, in arguing abstract propositions unconnected with and  
inapplicable to any public circumstance at that moment under

their discussion? It was ridiculous to suppose it. The House  
was, therefore, called upon to construe the resolution of the  
Lords in its true meaning—An insult upon the dignity of that  
House, an attempt to destroy it, and with it to annihilate our  
glorious constitution, and at the same time arrogating that in-  
terfering power to itself which the Commons had not assumed,  
which the Constitution had prohibited, and which the generous  
spirit of Englishmen, he hoped, would ever most rigidly deny.  
To prove the House had acted legally and constitutionally in  
passing the resolution which had been considered as so very of-  
fensive, it was barely necessary to consider the extracts from  
their journals which he had the honour to lay before them.

In these they were furnished with the most incontestible docu-  
ments, that their interposition, in matters of public concern,  
particularly with regard to that which came more peculiarly  
within their province as guardians of the national purse, was  
sanctified by immemorial usage, as necessary, legal, and con-  
stitutional. Even more modern days, as two Right Hon.  
Gentlemen in his eye, Mr Pitt and Mr Dundas, could testify,  
had been evidence of the necessity and soundness of this doc-  
trine. Why it should now become a question of contest and  
enquiry, more properly fell under the consideration of those  
whose wisdom it was to endeavour to supersede those privileges  
which it had, however, been the wisdom of the Constitution  
peculiarly to annex to that House. In his opinion, if there  
was an assumption of a suspending or dispensing power at all, it  
lay with the Lords, and not with the Commons. Tho' many of  
the resolutions which came within the objects of the report oc-  
curred in periods of our history not the most placid and serene,  
the noble Lord wished it not to be understood, nor in fact  
could it be understood, from the number of very modern reso-  
lutions contained in the report, that it was necessary, or want  
of other documents, that had induced the production of them.

It was no such thing, but merely done to show the early ex-  
ercise of that privilege which the House of Lords had then cho-  
sen to doubt, attack, and supersede. The noble Lord then,  
before he would make any observations on the resolutions con-  
tained in the report, said he thought it necessary to add a word  
or two on the resolution of the 24th of December, and the  
necessity which had induced it. Every one who had attended  
to the political circumstances of this country at the time that  
resolution was moved, must admire the wisdom of that House,  
in interposing by its advice in the peculiar situation in which  
it then stood. No Minister in the House; a Board of Treasury,  
if in existence, at that time not known to Parliament; an Ad-  
ministration, which, from the fate of more powerful ones, and  
considering the peculiar manner in which it had been formed,  
seemed to promise no great stability; demands on the East In-  
dia Company in bills, at that moment, to the amount of one  
million and a half, and not a shilling to discharge them—yet  
which bills, if accepted under the direction of the Treasury  
Board, the public faith would have been pledged to their dis-  
charge, and an already over-burthened people be thus now loaded  
and oppressed. On these considerations, well befitting the guardi-  
ans of the public purse, the representatives of the people, they  
wisely interfered with their advice, and resolved that the Board  
of Treasury ought not to permit the East India Company to  
accept bills, &c. Was this a conduct to be censured? Should  
the Lords of the Treasury culpably and wickedly have suffered  
those bills to have been accepted, what equivalent would the  
country have received in their punishment, even if they could  
be punished, for the misfortunes their delinquency would have  
heaped on it? And was there even in the act of Parliament,  
which vested in them the discretionary power of *permitting*  
such bills to be accepted, a description of abuse of that discre-  
tionary power? It was well understood, too, that it never was  
in the contemplation of the Legislature that such an immense sum  
as a million and a half should have been drawn for when that  
act of Parliament passed.—If the amount of bills drawn should  
exceed the sum of 300,000l. limited for the acceptance of the  
Company, only by 15, 20, or 30,000l. in such cases was it the  
intention of Parliament that the Treasury should have a power  
of *permitting* acceptance; but not in sums of the size and mag-  
nitude of a million, or a million and a half—Who could say,  
then, that the House did not do well to interpose its advice?  
It was well warranted so to do, as the event would have affect-  
ed the public purse, and new taxes must have been imposed on  
the people to make good the precipitate, hasty measures of a  
giddy board of Treasury; surely then it was not an assumption  
of power to dispense with law.—The noble Lord next adverted  
to the precedents he had laid before the House; first selecting  
the extracts from the Journals, where the Committee of Ton-  
nage and Poundage reported that a great stop had been put to  
their proceedings by the detaining of merchant goods under an  
injunction of the Court of Exchequer. On this occasion the  
House resolved to send a message to the Court of Exchequer,  
to advise the possession of the merchants goods to be restored to  
them, which was done. There was also an instance wherein  
the House interfered with its advice in the administration of a  
Court of Law, in a question involving in it matter of great  
public concern, the right of the Crown to levy Tonnage and  
Poundage of its own mere prerogative. Mr Noy, Attorney-  
General, was the mover of the resolution; Littleton, after-  
wards Lord Hope, seconded the motion; and the great Mr  
Selden strongly supported the right of that House to interpose,  
as strictly legal and constitutional.

The noble Lord then stated the resolution of the 10th of  
January, 1680, which respected the Dissenters, when the House  
opposed the penal laws against them. This resolution ran thus:

"Resolved, That it is the opinion of this House, that the  
prosecution of Protestant Dissenters upon the penal laws is at  
this time grievous to the subject, a weakening of the Protestant  
interest, an encouragement to Popery, and dangerous to the  
peace of the kingdom."

The next precedent to which the noble Lord referred, was  
one of the same month and year, viz. the 7th of January,  
1680. The King (so public Bank being then established) was  
generally supplied in his occasions by private bankers; and





though such men had undoubtedly a right to lend money to whom they pleased, and the King likewise to borrow; yet the House came to the two following resolutions, viz.

"Resolved, That whosoever shall hereafter lend, or cause to be lent, by way of advance, money upon the branches of the King's revenue, arising by Customs, Excise, or Hearth money, shall be judged to hinder the sitting of Parliament, and shall be responsible for the same in Parliament."

"Resolved, That whosoever shall accept or buy any tally of anticipation upon any part of the King's revenue, or whosoever shall pay such tally hereafter to be struck, shall be adjudged to hinder the sitting of Parliament, and shall be responsible therefor in Parliament."

Lord Beauchamp then stated some historical facts to elucidate more clearly the occasion of those resolutions, and having taken notice of another resolution of the House, entered into on the 20th of June, 1663:

"Ordered, That all proceedings at law, in the action of ejectment brought by James Berry, to try the title of certain lands lying in Pulver Fen; and all proceedings at law in cases of like nature, concerning the 95,000 acres of Fen land in Bedford Level, or any part thereof, be stayed; until the matter touching the said Fens, which is now depending in this House, be determined." Which was an interference with private right, though necessary for the public good, as the drainage of those Fens was in danger of giving way, and overflowing the country. The noble Lord took the same notice also of the resolutions which respected Mr Hallings, &c. and those moved by Mr Pitt, with regard to the Exchequer, and entered into on the 19th of June 1782, and the 30th of May 1783. The former declared,

"That it is the opinion of this Committee, That if, during the recess, and before the meeting of the next session of Parliament, any of the said offices of Auditor of the Exchequer, Clerk of the Pells, Tellers of the Exchequer, Usher, Chamberlain, or Tally Clerk of the Exchequer, should become vacant, by death or otherwise, it will not be expedient or proper for any of his Majesty's Ministers to advise the granting of, or to grant the same, either in possession or reversion, with such fees and perquisites as are now annexed to the said offices, but with a fixed and permanent salary, and under certain conditions, until this House shall again have had an opportunity of taking the reform and regulation of the said offices into their more serious and deliberate consideration."

The latter said, "That it is the opinion of this House, That his Majesty's Ministers ought not to grant, or advise the granting of, any patent offices in the customs, in possession or reversion, otherwise than during pleasure, between this time and the meeting of the next session of Parliament."

The noble Lord, after some further observations, moved six several resolutions, including the following ideas.—That the House had not assumed to itself a suspending or dispensing power.—That it was the undoubted privilege of that House to give its advice to the Ministers of the crown.—That it was more particularly the property of that House to interfere in all motions relative to the public purse, of which it was the only constitutional guardian.—That the resolution of the 24th of December was strictly constitutional and legal; and that it was the duty of that House with firmness to preserve, and to lend down their privileges and properties pure and inviolate to posterity. Lord Beauchamp, before he concluded, said he could not avoid remarking on the evident views of the peers, in not taking earlier notice of the resolution. It was the resolutions with regard to Ministers wanting the confidence of that House that had opened their Lordships' eyes to this dangerous innovation on the constitution.

The first resolution being then read by the Speaker, Sir Grey Cooper, in a very able manner, enforced the arguments made use of by the Noble Lord, with very great and profound constitutional learning. They were at issue, he said, as to the propriety of the House's interfering in a matter that concerned the public purse; and whether the House in that interference had assumed to itself a power dangerous or unknown to the Constitution; and that propriety had been so very ably established, that it remained merely for him, after producing some documents of the great Mr Sel den, to give his hearty concurrence to the Noble Lord's Resolutions.

Mr Macdonald, in a very long speech, contended that numbers of the resolutions in the report attached disgrace and infamy to the journals; and that the House had been frequently ashamed of its conduct, after it had entered into such inconsiderate determinations. With respect to the precedent of 1688, the Noble Lord had partially stated it. The case was a case of privilege. The goods detained by the Court of Exchequer were those of Mr Rolfe, a member of that House, which, on the claim of privilege by the House, the Court of Exchequer had restored to him. He denied that Lord Mansfield had disapproved the resolution of the Lords, which Sir Grey Cooper had laid great stress on. It was quite otherwise. And, with regard to the resolution of the 24th of December, he contended that it assumed a dispensing and suspending power. He also disliked the resolutions of a temperate amendment.

Mr Grenville confined himself chiefly to observations on two resolutions in the report, entered into by the House on the 5th of July, 1721, relative to the South Sea Company, one of which was,

"That no special bail be required in any action brought, or to be brought, upon any contract made since the first day of December 1719, and before the first day of December 1720, for the sale or purchase of any subscription or stock, of the South Sea Company, or any company or corporation, or pretended company or corporation." The other,

"That no execution be awarded against any judgment obtained, or to be obtained, in any action brought, or to be brought, upon any contract for the sale or purchase of any subscription or stock of the South Sea Company, or any company or corporation, or pretended company or corporation, until the end of the Session of Parliament, which shall be next after the twenty-ninth day of September next." Which resolutions, he contended, ought not to be relied on.

Mr Erskine said, if any one was disposed to twist the meaning of words to the worst purposes, to trifle with the dignity of the House, to exercise its honour to their prejudices; if there was any animated by such base motives, and distinguished by so mean a practice, they could scarce misconceive or misapply the resolutions which had been moved by the noble Lord. They contained an assertion of its privileges and vindication of its rights. He was astonished that any person should have in-

duced the sentiments of a noble Lord (Lord Mansfield) in the other House, as approving of a resolution which had passed there, and which, in its application, was derogatory of the dignity, and an attack on the prerogative of the House of Commons.

The opinion of that noble Lord, had been misconceived and misstated. He had the honour of hearing his Lordship's sentiments on the motion that had been made, before it passed as the resolution of the House of Peers. That noble Lord, whose ideas on every subject were clear and judicious, who possessed a discernment superior to almost all others, had decidedly said, that the resolution was weak and foolish in the abstract; that it was, quickened in its application. It had a tendency to produce disunion between the two Houses of Parliament; and in this view, ought to be rejected, as unfriendly to good government and the safety of the constitution. Such were the sentiments of the noble Lord. Agreeably to these sentiments he had voted. He was, therefore, surprised that any Gentleman should derive authority from, or shelter himself under, the opinion of the noble Lord. He then entered into a detail of the circumstances of the India Company, and stated the resolution of the House as applicable to that body.

The resolution to which particular allusion had been made was no act of Parliament, neither was it, what is more, paramount to an act of Parliament; it only expressed the sentiments of the House respecting a discretionary power, which, by the decision of all the branches of the legislature, was invested in the Treasury. It did not enact that the Lords Commissioners should not accept such and such bills, but declared it to be their opinion that they ought not to accept them. Was there not then a material difference between these two ideas, and between these two modes of expression? What are words but the signs of ideas? If, therefore, he was entitled to judge of the propriety and meaning of the common signs employed for that purpose in this country; if he understood any thing of the precision of the English language, and he thought himself as well entitled to judge of this point as his learned friend (Mr Macdonald) over the way, he could not but assert that these two modes of expression were *altogether* different, and in no respect whatever authorised the comment which had been put upon them. The resolution of the House of Commons, therefore, to which reference had been made, did not tend to confine or restrict the Lords of the Treasury, but to assist them with the most salutary advice in the discharge of a trust in which the happiness of the East India Company, and with this object the interest of the nation, was essentially involved.

Mr Erskine then stated the prerogatives of the Crown, as established by common law, as being more permanent and efficacious than all the other species of prerogative, as defined by statute. But though the Crown enjoyed peculiar privileges on such a foundation, the House of Commons possessed similar rights founded in custom and the principles of the constitution. These more especially extended to the national purse. The great Earl of Chatham was of opinion, and had publicly avowed, that a jurisdiction of this nature belonged to the Commons. This great and illustrious character, whom he had always listened to with astonishment, had avowed and supported this doctrine. On this ground the House of Commons had surely a right equal, if not superior, to tender their advice to the Lords of the Treasury, on a subject which touched the pecuniary concern of a large body of merchants in this kingdom. This, however, they did not do in all the austerity, and with all the rigour and sanction of legislative authority. They had not placed the Lords of the Treasury in the same situation with that of a servant, to whom they might say, *go, and be gone*. They had not acted towards them, in the same dogmatical and haughty manner as the wife Lords in the other House had decided on the resolution of the Commons, but had only given them a salutary and friendly advice with respect to their mode of treatment of the pecuniary concerns of a company, with whose interests they were in a certain degree charged. Mr Erskine concluded with saying, that the addresses sent up to the Throne were all procured by *impolture*.

Mr Brett said, he had presented an address to his Majesty, which he could not bear to hear treated as an *impolture*.—He was proceeding, when he was called to order by Lord Devalah, who expostulated his purpose to see gentlemen *pop up*, like the *jacks* of a harpsichord, to interrupt able and ingenious speakers; if they felt themselves misrepresented or injured, the true mode was to speak in reply.

Mr Erskine replied, that he would again repeat what he had before said, that the Addresses were obtained by *impolture*; the Right Hon. Friend and his connections were represented all over the kingdom by artful and designing persons, and by such species of *impolture* merely were those miserable Addresses sent up to the Throne.

Mr Dundas wished the 4th resolution, relative to that of the 24th of December, to be the first to have the question put on, and for this purpose suggested an amendment.

Mr Fox said the amendment proposed was nothing but an acknowledgement that the House did not understand its own opinion. It was an explanation which virtually and substantially recanted the sentiment conveyed by the resolution. It was, however, to be remembered that the House of Commons spoke not to the House of Lords; but to the Lords of the Treasury, and that the House of Lords had signified their difference with the House of Commons for an exertion of those privileges which were their exclusive right; and the mode of blaming the resolutions which they had treated thus disrespectfully affected every resolution of the House. It gave them all a colour of ambiguity and obscurity which they did not deserve. It lowered them in the eyes of the public, and made them speak a language which it was the interest of some that they should speak; but which, however, was foreign to their hearts. By how many stratagems was it endeavoured to produce this effect both within and without doors? A bill had been brought into Parliament which acknowledged founded on the most eminent necessity. Never did a more striking and tried majority stamp a greater sanction and value on any measure, than that by which the bill before Christmas was carried through the House. That bill was notwithstanding lost. But how? Will any man assert that the free unbiased suffrage of their Lordships operated to that effect? In contempt, however, of the House, in which the measure originated, and the illustrious majority who espoused it as their own, it was not only thrown out, but the Ministers perished with it, and perished only, as it would seem, because they were supported by the confidence of the House. A new Ministry were brought forward on the same principle; and were not these men held in office in direct opposition to that House, which never in a similar case had

been disregarded without danger to the Constitution? How was this strange unconstitutional step justified by those principally concerned? Not by vindicating their own conduct, but vilifying that of the House. Did it not seem an object to make the representatives of the people insignificant and useless? Were not his Majesty's present Ministers called to office, maintained in office, and by every mean and vulgar artifice exhibited to the people, not as objects of respect and merit, but of innocence and pity? But what was the object of the Constitution in vesting the House of Commons with the absolute, the unequivocal, and sole disposal of the public purse? Was it to endue that branch of the Legislature with various, separate, and independent powers? No.—For this peculiar prerogative the House of Commons possesses not as a branch of the Legislature, but as the representatives of the people, unconnected with any other powers or body of men whatever.

In this distinguishing attribute of their delegate capacity, neither King nor Lords had any right of interference. It was a trust connected with their existence and their honour; and to relinquish it on any account, was to prove traitorous to the confidence reposed in them by their constituents and the public. Whoever, therefore, had the use of the public money was responsible to them for the application they made of it, in proportion to their trust. They had not consequently gone too far in asserting whatever the resolutions of his noble Friend would bear. Their predecessors had undoubtedly gone much further: He doubted whether they had more provocation.

Mr Chancellor Pitt said, that the Right Hon. Gentleman, in his present conduct, seemed to be inclined to declare war with the other House of Parliament, and to load them with invective, which should bring upon them popular odium; and he seemed to be thus evidently solicitous of bringing on what he pretended the most to condemn, a quarrel between the two Houses. He trusted and believed the people had too much good sense; that they had too much veneration for a House which now, as well as in many former instances, had interposed between the violence of the House of Commons and the Constitution, and had rescued the one from the intemperance of the other. In the present case, they observed a resolution of this House with the jealousy which became their wisdom; and finding in it an ambiguity that alarmed them, they had declared their sense of its tendency with manliness, and at the same time with respect. If they had construed the resolution wrong; if they had given to the words a meaning which they did not bear, that must be ascribed to its true cause, not to any capricious disposition of that House—not, as had been insinuated, to a desire of diminishing the confidence of the Commons; but to a laudable desire of guarding the sacred purity of our Constitution against the temporary heat, the frenzy, the violence, or the forgetfulness of either of the other branches of the Legislature.

Mr Pows, Lord North, Mr Arden, Sir George Howard, and Lord Galway spoke.—At half past twelve Mr Pitt moved the previous question, and the House divided; when there appeared,

Majority in favour of Opposition, 29

The different resolutions were then put, and carried without an amendment, after which the House adjourned.

From the LONDON GAZETTE, Feb. 17.

Carlton House, February 13.

THE Prince of Wales has been pleased to make the following appointments in his Royal Highness's Household, viz.

Colonel Hulke, Comptroller of the Household.  
Colonel Stevens, and Lieutenant-Colonel St Leger, Grooms of the Bed-chamber.  
Major Churchill and the Honourable Captain Ludlow, Equerries.

War Office, February 14, 1784.

23d Regiment of foot, William Polhill, Gent. to be Second Lieutenant, vice Arthur Hodge.  
57th Regiment of foot, Lieutenant Patrick Crichton, of 43d regiment, to be Captain of a company, vice James Dalrymple.  
79th Regiment of foot, John Spencer, Gent. to be Ensign, vice Edward Bulkeley.

Lieutenant-Colonel William Browne, Governor of Upnor Castle, to be Lieutenant-Governor of Guernsey, vice Paulus Amelius Irving.  
Lieutenant-Colonel Paulus Amelius Irving, Lieutenant-Governor of Guernsey, to be Governor of Upnor Castle, vice William Browne.

INTELLIGENCE FROM LLOYD'S, Feb. 17.

The Peace and Plenty, Calahan, is lost on Cape Cod; passengers and crew saved.

The Ann, Folger, from Virginia to London, is put into New England, having sprung a leak, and lost her bowsprit and anchor.

The Fortuna, Helde, from London to Hamburg, is lost in the Elbe, the Captain and a boy drowned.

The Dreadnought, Penton, from London to Dublin, is safe in Scilly.

The General Greene, Gillard, from London to Liverpool, is lost in Milford harbour; the cargo saved.

HOUSE OF COMMONS.

Wednesday, Feb. 18.

DEFERRED till Tuesday the Committees on ways and means, and the supply; also that on the state of the nation.

Agreed to the resolutions on the Ordnance estimates.

Mr Pitt moved the order of the day for bringing up the report of the Ordnance estimates.

On this Mr Fox rose, merely, however, to know if the Right Honourable Gentleman had any thing to communicate to the House before they should enter into the debate of that report.


Mr Pitt said, he intended to give the House the satisfaction he had promised them before the question of Supply should be taken into consideration, viz. What his Majesty's ideas were respecting the resolutions that had been laid before him by that House; and he had authority to say, that his Majesty, from a consideration of the circumstances of the times, had not dismissed his Ministers, nor had they resigned.

Mr Fox said the intelligence which had been communicated to the House by the Right Hon. Gentleman was of a nature unknown in the annals of this country ever since the era of the glorious Revolution. This happy century, till the present day, had furnished no evident design to annihilate the dignity, power, and importance of the House of Commons. No Prince of the illustrious House of Brunswick had before the present period determined coolly and seriously, after calm and deliberate consideration, to reject the opinion of the House of Commons, and to treat their resolutions with indifference and contempt. Never had the doctrines of the present times, nor those exertions of the prerogative, since the days of the unfortunate House of Stuart, been attempted to be established, nor dared to be em-



his role up, and declared his opinion, that the  
of the times was a sufficient apology for his trou-  
pse with a few words. The Right Hon. Gen-  
Treasury Bench had either misconceived, or mis-  
the vote of the preceding day. He had said, (last  
"that vote was equal to a positive denial of the  
to his Majesty." He certainly understood his  
he could speak positively for himself, that he had  
vote with any view whatever to withhold the

" Mr Pitt convinced the House, that he had not misconstrued, and that he did not mean ever to misconstrue, the votes of that House, for any particular view of his own.



FOR LONDON,  
**THE LOVELY MARY,**  
 RICHARD GARDNER  
 MASTER  
 WILLIAM BRANTON, Master,  
 LYING in Leith Harbour, taking in goods  
 and sails 6th March 1784.  
 The Master to be spoke with at the Ex-  
 change Coffeehouse, at Change hours, morn-  
 ings and evenings on board the ship, or at  
 William Bentons's, Queen Street, Leith.  
 N. B. The above ship has neat accommodations for passengers, and  
 the best of usage may be depended on.

100



ARRIVED AT GREENOCK, Feb. 16. William, Lamont, from the Herring fishery.—15. John and Robert, Mains, from Kirkcubright, with meal; Elliot, Ward, from Liverpool, with goods.—19. Mary, Campbell, from Kirkcubright, with oats; Ceres, Davis, from Belfast, in ballast; New Packet, Davis, from Conway, with timber.

SAILED, 16. Charlotte, West, for Dublin, with goods; Jerry, Telford, for Virginia, with ditto.—17. Liddell, Daniel, for London, ballast; Jenny, Lamb, for Bristol, with goods.

### Third Notice—Second Term.

IN the Process of Ranking and Sale, at the instance of Thomas Goldie, writer in Dumfries, and Alexander Williamson, agent to the late Duke of Queensberry, near Drumlanig, executors of William Seton of Liverpool, with concurrence of his Majesty's Advocate, against Robert Mackie, daughter of the deceased John Mackie, writer in Dumfries, sp. aff. to Captain David Johnston of the marines, lately in America, and him for his interest, and their creditors; the Lord Swinton Ordinary to the ranking, upon the 6th of February 1784, assigned the second day of March next to the whole creditors of the bankrupts, to produce all their claims, rights, and diligences competent to them respectively, against the bankrupts or their estate, and whole vouchers thereof, and that for the second term; with certification, that what writs shall not be produced shall be held as false and forged, so far as they may affect the estate of the bankrupts, and the interests of the creditors who have produced, or who shall produce, their rights and diligences affecting the same; and ordained intimation or notice thereof to be made to all parties concerned, in terms of the act of federunt.

C. H. STEVENSON, Clerk.

By Order of the Honourable

### Commissioners of his Majesty's Customs.

THERE is to be exposed to public roup and sale, at the Custom houses of the ports, upon the respective days after mentioned, at the hour of twelve o'clock noon each day,

FOR HOME CONSUMPTION,

DUNBAR, Friday, 27th February 1784.—120 Gallons Geneva, and 54 gallons Brandy.

LEITH, Saturday 28th February.—901 lbs. Black Tea.

MONTROSE, Monday, 11th March 1784.—274 Gallons Brandy, 594 gallons Geneva, 27 gallons Wine, and 6 bottles Cordial Waters.

AYR, Wednesday, March 3.—812 Gal. Brandy, and 411 gal. Geneva.

W.G.TOWN, Friday 5th March.—92 Gallons Rum, and 1030 lbs. White Hard Soap.

### A TANNERY.

TO be SOLD by public voluntary roup, within the Trades Hall, Dundee, on the 18th day of March 1784, betwixt the hours of two and three afternoon, and to be entered to at Whitunday following.

That large and commodious TANNERY lying at the foot of the Well-gate, Dundee, consisting of three drying shades, two bark lofts, a bark mill, carrying shop, stove, leather cellar, stable, smoke-house, &c. and every other convenience necessary for carrying on the branch of tanning, with the peculiar advantage of a fine run of spring water; as also a shop and counting house of seventy feet, fronting the Well-gate and Cowgate streets.

Any person inclining a private bargain may give in their proposals to George Lockhart tanner, Dundee (who will show them the premises), on or before the 4th of March, as any private offer from that date cannot be accepted of.

### SOAP WORK and UTENSILS.

TO be SOLD by public roup, within the Royal Exchange Coffee-house, Edinburgh, upon Monday the 10th of March 1784, betwixt the hours of five and six afternoon,

One Sixth Part of a Scots Acre of GROUND, or thereby, formerly part of the garden ground of the lands of Croftangry, lying within the parish of Canongate, and shrieftown of Edinburgh, with the whole Houses and Buildings lately erected thereon by Bogie, Morrison, and Co. of late soapboilers at Abbeyhill, with the whole Utensils and other articles in these buildings used by that Company in their business of soapboiling.

The ground, houses, and utensils, may be seen any time before the sale, by applying to Mr Swinton Wright at Abbeyhill; and an inventory of the particulars, with the articles and conditions of roup, by applying to Patrick Copland writer, at Durie's Office Edinburgh.

TO be LET for such number of years as shall be agreed on, and entered to immediately.

**FREELAND HOUSE furnished, situated in a dry bottom, and in a beautiful country, two miles west from the Bridge of Earn, and five miles from Perth, with the office-houses, pleasure-ground, garden, and hot-house.** The possessor may be accommodated with what grass-grounds he may have occasion for.

For particulars, apply to William Mercer, Esq; Perth, or Mr Beveridge, Prince's street, Edinburgh.

### HOUSES in Portsburgh to SELL,

And Upset Prices specified.

TO be SOLD by public voluntary roup, within John's Coffeehouse, Edinburgh, upon Wednesday the 3rd day of March 1784, betwixt the hours of five and six in the afternoon, the following SUBJECTS, lying in Portsburgh, which belonged to the deceased Thomas Hall, late Watch-maker in Canongate, in the lots, and at the upset prices following:

LOT I. A large Tenement of Land lying in the Lady-wynd, Portsburgh, being a few yards without the West Port, fronting to the high street, and possessed by John Hill, and sundry other tenants. This tenement yields 181. 15s. Sterling of yearly rent, is burdened with payment to the Magistrates of Edinburgh of 11. 16s. Scots of feu-duty, and will be exposed at the upset price of 90 l. Sterling.

LOT II. That large Tenement of Land, lying at the head of the Chapel-wynd, Portsburgh, also fronting to the high street, as the same is presently possessed by John Stewart flax dresser, and other tenants.—This Tenement yields 11 l. 15s. Sterling of yearly rent, is burdened with payment to the Magistrates of Edinburgh of 11 l. Scots of feu-duty, and will be exposed at the upset price of 50 l. Sterling.

LOT III. Another large tenement of Land, lying further down the wynd than the subject in last lot and adjoining thereto, as the same is presently possessed by James Gray and other tenants. This subject yields 7 l. 19s. of yearly rent, is burdened with payment to the Magistrates of Edinburgh, of 14 l. Scots of feu-duty, and will be exposed at the upset price of 35 l. Sterling.

The progress of writs will be seen in the hands of Henry Johnston Wylie writer in Edinburgh, and John Stewart flax-dresser, one of the tenants, will show the subjects.

### SALE of HOUSES in DUNDEE.

TO be SOLD by Private Bargain, and entered to at Whitunday next,

1. The Second Storey of that Tenement of Land, lying on the south side of the Nethergate, and on the west side of Cowy's Wynd, presently possessed by Mr Thomas Watson, consisting of two rooms and kitchen, two bed-chambers, and pantry, together with a cellar and garret. There is an entry to this flat from the Nethergate, and another from Cowy's Wynd.

2. The Third Storey of said Tenement, presently possessed by Robert West, consisting of two rooms, kitchen, bed-chamber, and pantry, with the cellar and garret belonging thereto.

3. The Fourth Storey of said Tenement, presently possessed by Mrs Hill and Mrs Whitte, consisting of two rooms, kitchen, closet, and cellar.

4. The Shop, back and fore, possessed by Robert Ker, in the ground flat of the Tenement, lying on the south side of the Nethergate, and immediately west from the tenement of land above mentioned, with the room and closet in the upper storey, possessed by Alexander Ross.

5. The Third Storey of the Tenement last above mentioned, possessed by Mrs Gibb and others, consisting of three rooms, kitchen, and pantry, with a garret-room, and two cellars.

6. The Shop and vacant ground, with the Stable and Hay-left, rented by Andrew Low plasterer, lying on west side of Cowy's Wynd.

For particulars, application may be made to Thomas Smart, writer in Dundee, who has power to conclude a bargain,

### HOUSE in NEW-STREET FOR SALE.

TO be SOLD by public roup, within the Exchange Coffeehouse, on Monday next, the 11th March, at two o'clock afternoon.

That LARGE and commodious LODGING in New-Street, possessed by Mrs Churchill, lately built by Sir James Dunbar on days wages, and finished in the most elegant and substantial manner, consisting of dining-room, drawing-room, two dressing rooms, five bed chambers, besides closets and very good sleeping garret rooms. In the sunk story, a good kitchen, scullery, larder, house-keeper and servants rooms, with cellars and catacombs, and sundry other conveniences, with a large back area to the west, and a servitude against building to the east of the street. This house is also accommodated with a water pipe.

The title-deeds are in the hands of James Stormonth writer in Edinburgh, to whom any person inclining a private bargain may apply.

N. B. If not sold, the premises will be let for the ensuing year at 60 l. rent.

### JUDICIAL SALE.

TO be SOLD by authority of the Lords of Council and Session, within the Parliament or New Session House of Edinburgh, upon Saturday the 6th day of March 1784, betwixt the hours of four and six afternoon, before the Lord Ordinary on the bills,

### THE SUBJECTS FOLLOWING,

Which pertained to the deceased William Hay of Crawfordston, writer to the signet, and afterwards to James Hay of Crawfordston his Son, viz.

#### LOT I.

The Lands and Estate of CRAWFORDSTON, including Kidston, and Brattleford, Cloughside and Larmore, with the mill thereof, and tenants lying in the parish of Glencairn and shire of Dumfries; as also, the Lands of Little Stewarton, lying in the same parish and shire.

The yearly rent of the estate of Crawfordston, free of all deductions, is proved to be 204 l. 18s. 3d. 7-12ths; which the Lords have valued at twenty-two years purchase, or L. 4580 2 6 8-12ths

The rent of the Lands of Little-Stewarton, after deducting School salary and a fifth part for teind, is proved to be 16 l. 11s. 3d. which the Lords have valued at 22 years purchase, or L. 364 7 6

And the privilege of purchasing the free teind, being 3 l. 19s. 9d. is valued at 5 years purchase, L. 19 18 9

384 6 3

Upset price of 1st Lot,

L. 4892 8 9 8-12ths

These lands are pleasantly situated on the water of Cairn, within 14 miles of Dumfries, and 7 of Cloughside limekilns; they contain upwards of 1600 acres of good soil, all inclosed; and there are valuable woods and thriving plantations on different parts of the estate. Both the estate of Crawfordston and Lands of Little-Stewarton are held of his Grace the Duke of Queensberry; the former for payment of 21. 10d. Sterling, the latter for payment of an eleventh duty. The teinds of the former, to which there is a complete right are valued. Both subjects stand rated in the Cess-books at 954 merks.—On the estate of Crawfordston is a mansion-house adjoining the Tower, which might be repaired at a small expence; and the leases of all the farms expire at Whitunday 1786.

#### LOT II.

The Lands of CASTLEBANK, comprehending Scalewood, Crofides, Carruthers Town, Meadow Foot, and pertinents, lying in the parish of Hoddam and shire of Dumfries.

The rent of these lands free, after deducting feu-duty and School salary, and laying aside a fifth part for teind is, 44 l. 12s. 8d. 9-12ths; which, at 23 years purchase, the Lord's price amounts to L. 1026 11 118-12ths

And the privilege of purchasing the free teinds, being 7 l. 6s. 7d. 4-12ths, is rated at 5 years purchase, amounting to 36 13 0 8-12ths

Upset price of Lot 2d,

L. 1063 5 0 4-12ths

These lands hold feu of Sir Robert Herries, for yearly payment of 14 l. Scots. They lie near the village of Ecclefechan, within a mile of two limestone quarries, contain about 170 acres, and are a very improvable subject.

#### LOT III.

TWO ENCLOSURES near the town of Annan, called GILLS and SLAVENHILL, containing about 10 acres.

The free rent of these enclosures, after deducting 15s. 8-12ths, as a proportion of the cumulo feu duty payable to the town of Annan, for this and the subsequent lot, and after laying aside a fifth part for teind, to which there is no right, is proved to be 21. 4s. 6 9-12ths, which free rent being valued at 23 years purchase, the upset price of the lot is, L. 51 4 11 3-12ths

And the privilege of purchasing the free teind, being 9s. 1-12ths, is valued at 5 years purchase, amounting to 2 5 5 10-12ths

Upset price of Lot 3d,

L. 53 10 5 1-12th

#### LOT IV.

A large Brick DWELLING HOUSE and STABLE, and the half of a large Brick Store-house, and vaulted Cellar, with a little garden at the back of the Store-house, all lying within the Burgh of Annan.

The free rent of these houses, after deducting 3s. 1-12ths, as a proportion of the cumulo feu-duty, payable to the town of Annan for this and the preceding lot, amounts to 4 l. 16s. 10d. 7-12ths; which being valued at 10 years purchase, the upset price is L. 48 8 9 10-12ths

#### LOT V.

A part of the Lands called NEWINGTON or CHERRYHALL, consisting of several Houses, Offices, and small Garden, with the superiority of an acre of land, and feu-duties thereof, all lying near the Grange, in the parish of St Cuthbert's, and shire of Edinburgh.

One of the houses which is presently possessed by Mrs Brown, consists of six rooms, besides Kitchen and Cellars, and with the Garden, is rented at 13 l. The others are smaller, one being rented at 5 l. another at 3 l. 10.

The acre of Land of which the superiority is to be sold, is fenced out, one half to James Howieson, and the other to John Breckenrigg, for the yearly payment of 5 l. Sterling each.

The rent of the property subjects, after deducting a proportion of the feu-duty payable to Mr Crichton of Newington, Mr Hay's superior, is 18 l. 1s. 9d. which being valued at six years purchase, the upset price of these property subjects will be L. 108 10 6

And the subinfeudation, after deducting a proportion of said feu-duty payable to Mr Crichton, amount to 8 l. 8s. 3d. which being valued at nineteen years purchase, the upset price is 159 16 9

Upset price of lot 5th,

L. 268 7 3

But, if more agreeable to offerers, these last mentioned subjects may be set up in three different lots thus.

Lot 1. To consist of the property subjects, upset

price as above, L. 108 10 6

2. James Howieson's feu ditto,

79 18 4 1/2

3. John Breckenrigg's ditto ditto,

79 18 4 1/2

L. 268 7 3

#### LOT VI.

A HOUSE or LODGING, GARRET, and CELLAR, in the Meal-market of Edinburgh, presently possessed by Alexander Macdonald clerk to the signet.

The proven free rent of this house is 181. Sterling, which being valued at ten years purchase, the upset price will be 180 l.

#### LOT VII.

A HOUSE or LODGING, and Pertinents, in Gotsford's Close, Edinburgh, fronting the High-street, presently possessed by Mrs Hay. The proven rent of which is 20 l. and the upset price is fixed at ten years purchase, or 200 l.

The articles of roup, and title-deeds, may be seen by applying to Keith Dunbar, depute-clerk of session, or James Thomson writer to the signet; and plans of the estates, and copies of the articles, are also lodged with Thomas Goldie writer in Dumfries.

### SALE of LANDS in BERWICKSHIRE.

TO be SOLD by public voluntary roup, within the Exchange Coffeehouse in Edinburgh, upon Monday the 8th day of March next, betwixt the hours of four and six o'clock afternoon,

The Lands and Estate of GREENKNOW, and Town and Lands of WEST GORDON, and Mill thereof, lying in the parish of Gordon, and shire of Berwick. The estate consists of upwards of 2200 acres, of a good soil, capable of great improvement, and is conveniently situated within a few miles of Kelso, and several other good market towns.

The present rental, after deduction of minister's stipend, and school-master's salary, is 440 l. 3s. 11d. 6-12ths, and a considerable rise of rent may be expected at the expiry of the lease of the farm of Greenknow.

The estate holds partly of the Crown, and partly blench of the Duke of Gordon.

ALSO to be SOLD, the Superiority of the Lands of Nether Edmestons, lying in the parish of Cockburn's path and shire of Berwick, which afford a vote for a member of Parliament, upon the old extent.

For particulars apply to Archibald Gibson, writer to the signet, who will show the articles of roup, rental, title-deeds, and plan of the lands.

Upset Price Reduced.

SALE OF THE

### ESTATE OF ORCHARDTON.

In the Stewartry of Kirkcubright.—In WHOLE or in LOTS.

TO be SOLD by public roup, within the Exchange Coffeehouse in Edinburgh, upon Wednesday the 3d of March 1784, the roup to begin at five o'clock afternoon.

All and Whole the Lands and Estate of ORCHARDTON, lying within the parishes of Biele, Renwick, and united parishes of Galloway and Kellon, and Stewartry of Kirkcubright.

This estate consists of 3267 acres Scots measure, whereof there are 1690 acres of rich arable ground, and 1577 acres of excellent pasture. Almost the whole estate is inclosed, and the arable farms are subdivided with good fences. The farm houses, which are timbered with the best foreign wood, and covered with slates, and office-houses, are in the very best order, most of them having been built within these three years.

The present rent of the estate is about 1000 l. Sterling, after deduction of all public burdens, and by subdividing the larger farms, a considerable rise of rent might be obtained. The tenants are in general men of industry, and are carrying on great improvements by means of lime, marl, sea-fish, fleece, &c. with which the lands are plentifully supplied.

The natural wood on this estate is extensive, and the whole of the age fit for cutting; from a late appreciation it appears, that the value of the wood is upwards of 500 l. Sterling.

Upon the lands of New Orchardton there has been lately built a large, elegant, and commodious mansion-house, with a complete set of office-houses, and houses for labouring servants, executed in the most substantial manner. In erecting and completing which buildings, there has been expended upwards of 3000 l. Sterling. There is also a good garden and orchard well stocked with fruit trees.

The House of Orchardton is delightfully situated near the Bay of Histon on the Solway Frith, and has a commanding prospect of the coast of England on the opposite shore. Within the bounds of the estate are several good harbours, fit to receive vessels of considerable burden, particularly one within 300 yards of the house of Orchardton; from the Bay near the house, the family residing there are at all seasons plentifully supplied with a variety of fish, which are taken by the servants, without difficulty, by placing nets at low water.—Among the many advantages which this estate enjoys, it may be remarked, that it lies in a country where the spring and summer are earlier than in any other place in Scotland, and the cold and other disagreeable effects of the east wind are scarcely felt.

The whole estate holds of the Crown for payment of small feu and blanch duties, and stands valued in the cess-books at 1047 l. Scots, which, with two forty-shilling lands of old extent, entitles the proprietor to four freehold qualifications.

If the estate is not sold in whole, it will be exposed in lots as follows.

LOT I.—Mains of Orchardton, Chapelcraef, Blackelly, &c. New Mill of Orchardton and Glenhinnoch, and Mill of Auchincruin, the present free rent whereof is about 780 l.

NOTA. This lot includes the mansion-house, and all the natural wood.

LOT II.—The Farm of Clonyards, lying detached from the rest of the estate; rent 20 l.

LOT III.—The Farm of Caigton; rent 200 l.

For further particulars enquire at the proprietor at Orchardton house, William Keith accountant in Edinburgh, or at James Ballie at the Stamp Office, who will show the title, rental, progress of writs, and a plan and measurement of the estate. Any person inclining to treat by private bargain before the day of sale may apply as above.

### JUDICIAL SALE

OF HOUSES and a FACTORY in GLASGOW.

TO be SOLD within the Parliament or New-Session House of Edinburgh, upon Tuesday the 9th day of March next, betwixt the hours of four and five afternoon,

The whole HERITABLE SUBJECTS lying above the Cross of Glasgow, which belonged to the deceased Andrew Aytton, Esq; Merchant and late Provost of Glasgow, and to Messrs Aytton, Blackburn, and Colvill, proprietors of the Haarlem Linen and Dying Manufactories.

That large DWELLING HOUSE, consisting of four stories and garrets, which was formerly possessed by Provost Aytton, and now by Andrew Blackburn merchant in Glasgow, with the large area around the same.

This house is in good repair, stands in a good situation near the College Garden, and is fit for accommodating a large family.

The proven value of this lot is L. 882 0 0

LOT II.

The whole HOUSES and AREA, lately possessed by Messrs Aytton, Blackburn, and Colvill, partners of the Haarlem Linen and Dying Manufactories, and extremely well adapted for carrying on business of that kind, comprehending the Dwelling House possessed by Mr William Gardner, with the ware-houses, yarn-room, heckling-house, weaving, and Dying factories, &c. The stable, hay-stack, and cellars, which were formerly possessed along with the subjects in the first lot, are to be sold along with the subjects in this second lot, at the upset price of 788 l. Sterling.

Copies of the articles of roup, and conditions of sale, may be seen in the office of Mr George Kirkpatrick, Depute Clerk of Session, and any other information may be got, by applying to William Dick writer to the signet, or Claud Marshall writer in Glasgow.

A Seat for a Gentleman.

TO be SOLD by public roup, within John's Coffeehouse, Edinburgh, upon Wednesday the 3rd day of March next, between four and five afternoon, (the day being changed for the accommodation of offerers).

The Estate of HUNTINGTON and MARRY-HATTON, in the parishes of Haddington and Gladsmuir, and county of Haddington, consisting of about 223 Scots acres, upon which is a large and commodious Mansion-house, with suitable office-houses, garden, and other conveniences for the accommodation of a genteel family, pleasantly situated within two miles of Haddington, and fourteen of Edinburgh.

The Estate is all inclosed; has a good deal of thriving timber upon it, already of considerable value. The whole, except two small inclosures, have been laid in grass, and pastured, so is in good condition for being broke up with great emolument, and may, with the garden, house, &c. be entered to at any time.

For the encouragement of offerers the premises will be exposed at 5000 l.

Private offers will be acceptable; and John Smith, writer to the signet, has power to conclude a bargain, and give possession.